



REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

2018 Small Diameter and Large Diameter Condition, Capacity, and Governmental Contracts

Solicitation No.: PS-00058

Addendum 3 | March 2, 2018

RESPONSES TO SUBMITTED QUESTIONS

1. QUESTION: Can you please let us know how many consultants will be selected from this RFQ? Also, what is \$ value for each contract?

Is it possible to extend the date by a week?

ANSWER: *Not at this time. The value of the contract award and number of consultants that will be selected will be determined during the selection process*

No, unfortunately, in order to meet the project deadlines as part of consent decree with the EPA, SAWS must award these contracts at the April 3 Board meeting.

2. QUESTION: Can you provide clarification for an item in the above-referenced RFQ? Item IV.B.2 (page 5) states:

“One (1) hard copy should be clearly marked as “ORIGINAL” on the cover and the signature sheet and should include original signatures. Eight (8) must be submitted as well.”

Can you confirm the second sentence requests eight “Copies” of the original response be submitted?

ANSWER: *Copies look exactly the same as the original proposal, but don't have original signatures. Otherwise, they are the same.*

3. QUESTION: I am having problems entering text on Attachment E. I downloaded the RFP from the website again, and was able to add text for all of the questions, but as soon as I saved it, some of the text disappeared and then those questions weren't editable anymore.

Can you please issue a corrected Attachment E?

ANSWER: A corrected Attachment E was prepared and has been issued with Addendum 2.

4. QUESTION: We are submitting the following question for clarification on the SAWS 2018 Small Diameter and Large Diameter Condition, Capacity, and Governmental Contracts (Solicitation No. PS-00058)

Attachment D, Similar Projects and Past Performance, Question 3: List and describe five (5) relevant projects of similar size and scope, including projects with tunneling design and design of inline storage, performed over the past five (5) years. Provide a brief description of the scope, list of key personnel and their roles and project tasks, as well as identify the number of change orders, contract value, and owner's contact information.

May we include relevant project(s) from our team's proposed subconsultant(s)?

ANSWER: Only the prime's experience will count for performance of past projects. There is not a requirement for tunneling design and/or design of inline storage. Please see #1 Clarifications within this Addendum.

5. QUESTION: Is the combined M/WBE and SBE goal 40% or 45%?

ANSWER: The overall goal is 40%

The combination allows vendors to reach the maximum amount of points using both MWBE and SBE. However, SBE points allowed cap off at 5% participation. MWVB points allowed cap off at 40%. Once the goal is met with the combination of SMWVB vendors, no additional points are given.

6. QUESTION: How many contracts does SAWS anticipate awarding? What is SAWS budget for this work? Please clarify character count for Question E.2.

It takes some effort to make the responses meet the character limit and waiting until the 2nd to learn what the target is will make it challenging to complete the proposal and QC it before printing in time to meet the deadline on the 7th.

ANSWER: See Answer to Question 1. Question E.2 has an 800 character limit.

CLARIFICATIONS

1. The scope for this project does not include tunneling design or design of inline storage. The Evaluation Criteria Forms were revised as part Addendum 2 to remove this language.

END ADDENDUM 1

This Addendum is two (2) pages in its entirety. There are no attachments.